University of Hawaii at Manoa

“Kalo-Nization”: A Prosaic Analysis of The Co-Evolution of Subsistence Strategies and Sociopolitical Complexity in Pre-Contact Hawai‘i

Erin Trask

Anth- 151-015: Emerging Humanity

Professor Philip Broadhurst

December 10, 2025

Table of Contents

“The Hawaiians were kalonizers” - Mauna Kea Trask

Introduction

Prior to 1778, the Hawaiian Islands were extremely isolated from the rest of the world’s human population and cultures (Kirch, 1990). This isolation, together with a material culture limited to stone, wood, and bone, led to Hawaii’s characterization as an "ancient" society when it was "discovered" at the cusp of the modern age. My research suggests that pre-contact Hawaiian culture and its political organization, religion, and subsistence strategies were not relics but rather dynamic systems perfectly adapted to Hawaii’s own remote place and time. These Hawaiian systems were, in fact, highly advanced and "push[ed] the limits of tribal society" (Kirch, 1990, p. 312). I argue this advanced culture resulted from an interconnected system where political organization and religion grew out of the evolution of subsistence strategies in response to population growth and environmental stress. This system was structured for efficiency, like a fishing net, with every woven knot and section, from the smallest taro patch to the highest chief, dependent on the others. Yet, this system was not a utopia. To function, it required oversight by a class of god-chiefs who enforced strict social segregation and institutionalized violence, including human sacrifice. This reflects the dual nature of aloha itself: a system of connection and reciprocity, underpinned by the ultimate sanction of divine power.

The timing of the first Polynesian arrival in Hawai‘i is debated, but "the time scale for prehistoric change" is at least 1,500 years (Kirch, 1990, p. 319). These original arrivees, who I will refer to as “kalo-nizers” because of the plants and subsistence strategies they brought with them, found a pristine environment. This early abundance supported a small population without a complex social hierarchy. However, the population grew to an estimated 200,000 to 800,000

(Kirch, 1990, p. 321). This growth intensified pressure on environmental resources, necessitating more complex and labor-intensive subsistence methods. This drove the development of increasingly sophisticated political systems. Finally, religion emerged to legitimize the authority of the aliʻi class, most critically through the moʻokūʻauhau connecting the aliʻi to the land and the gods themselves. Therefore, I propose the makaʻāinana came first; then, the chiefs grew from the increasing complexity of subsistence strategies; and finally, the gods, through the legitimizing narratives of moʻolelo, came last, sanctifying a ruling class that could control and extract surplus from the system.

Subsistence Strategies

Hawaiʻi was distinguished from other Polynesian chiefdoms by "the variety and intensity of its production systems," including pondfield irrigation of kalo, intensive dryland farming of sweet potato, and aquaculture in large artificial fishponds (Kirch, 1990, p. 329). However, these sophisticated strategies were not the starting point. They developed and evolved over centuries in direct response to demographic and environmental pressures.

The founding population at *kalonization* (c. 300-600 CE) was very small, as voyaging canoes held perhaps 40-50 people (Kirch, 1990, p. 322). They arrived on islands that were "pristine and untouched by humans for millions of years" (Kirch, 1990, p. 335). Despite their small numbers, their impact was remarkable. They purposefully introduced crops and domestic animals while inadvertently bringing synanthropic species like rats and insects. More significantly, their subsistence practices, including forest clearance and the consumption of endemic species like flightless birds, triggered "one of the greatest waves of rapid extinction of species... in the history of the earth" (Kirch, 1990, p. 335). The initial phase was one of pure unchecked consumption with a small population consuming readily available resources.

As Kirch's (1990) diagrammatic cultural sequence shows (pp. 322-323), the complex subsistence systems that define later Hawaiian culture did not develop until the Expansion and Proto-Historic periods (c. 1100-1795 CE). The early Settlement and Developmental periods were characterized by simpler irrigation and shifting cultivation. This is logical, as a small population lacks both the labor and the need for massive irrigation works or fishponds. However, successful subsistence allowed the population to grow, which in turn increased pressure on the dwindling endemic resources. The scale of this initial consumption is vividly detailed in early Hawaiian ethnography. David Malo's (1903) inventory of native birds reads as a functional menu and materials list for early society. He methodically notes which birds are "excellent eating" (like the nēnē goose), "delicious eating" (like the ʻōʻū), or provide "feathers... made up into the large royal kahili" (like the ʻōʻō and mamo) for the regalia of the emerging aliʻi class. This taxonomy was a record of active utilization and not a scholarly endeavor or a conservation inventory of species to protect. The "greatest waves of rapid extinction" that Kirch (1990, p. 335) identifies archaeologically correspond to the very species Malo catalogs as resources. Their disappearance from the lowlands created a profound caloric and cultural deficit. This utilitarian categorization reflects a fundamental cultural principle. As Handy and Pukui (1972) explain of the Ka-ʻu Hawaiians, observation was never idle. 'Almost certainly there was an infinite variety of living things... which were unnamed (because unnoteworthy), since they were of no use or interest.' They conclude, 'The old Hawaiians had no "pure science" and did not indulge in "art for art's sake" ' (p. 119). In this world of applied knowledge, a thing's name and its detailed classification were direct measures of its role in survival.

A self-reinforcing cycle then began, population growth necessitated more intensive food production, which required greater social organization, which in turn supported further

population growth. The shift into leeward zones and the intensification of agriculture required new levels of social coordination. The construction and maintenance of the ʻauwai that fed the life-giving loʻi kalo (taro pondfields) were quintessential communal endeavors. As Handy and Handy (1972) detail, workers were levied from the land sections to be benefited, and a planter's share of water was determined "by the amount of labor contributed to the construction and maintenance of the ditch" (p. 58). This practical rule, water rights based on labor input, formed the conceptual basis for the Hawaiian word for law, kānāwai, framing resource management as a matter of equitable social contract (Handy & Handy, 1972, pp. 57-58). The consecration of a new ditch involved a kahuna making offerings to the local water deity, explicitly fusing the practical engineering feat with spiritual ritual to ensure its success (Handy & Handy, 1972, pp. 59-60). This fusion of hard work and sacred practice was the foundation of the system.

The system's brilliance was in its integrated, efficient design, aimed at maximizing yield. Marion Kelly (1997) notes that 19th-century surveys showed irrigated taro could sustain "25 to 30 persons" per acre, compared to "2 or 3 persons" for dryland cultivation (p. 8). Furthermore, the system created a nutrient cycle. Water from taro patches, enriched with fertilizers, was directed into shoreline fishponds (loko iʻa), supporting algae that fed herbivorous fish. This was the most efficient way to produce protein (Kelly, 1997, p. 6). The initial stress on resources caused by population pressure had triggered the development of a highly sophisticated, engineered landscape whose management would dictate the very structure of Hawaiian society.

Political Systems and Organization

The increasing complexity of subsistence strategies directly catalyzed the evolution of more complex political systems. The simple ʻohana units of the early Settlement Period could manage hunter-gatherer life, but the need for engineered landscapes of the Expansion Period

required centralized management, organized labor, and a hierarchy capable of enforcement. Governance, resource management, and religion were aspects of a single authoritative structure, upheld by the kapu system‘s death penalty.

From this pragmatic perspective, the ali‘i functioned as the chief executive officers of the resource economy. They did not "own" the land in a Western sense but acted as trustees with absolute power. As Marion Kelly (1997) explains, resources were seen as gifts from the gods, and the chief's paramount duty was to manage them for the benefit of the people (p. 2). This stewardship was the source of their legitimacy. A chief was expected to "care for the people with gentleness and patience... and at the same time to pay due respect to the ceremonies of religion" (Kelly, 1997, p. 2), ensuring "everyone received a share of the products of the land and the sea" (Kelly, 1997, p. 2). In practice, this meant incentivizing the intensive labor that made the system viable. As David Malo noted, "The farmer and the fisherman acquired many servants and accumulated property by their labors. For this reason the practice of these callings was regarded as most commendable" (Malo, 1903, p. 75). The chief’s role was to foster this productivity, from which his own status and the community's security flowed.

The practical mechanism for this management was the ahupua‘a system. Administering these integrated land divisions, from mountain to sea, required absolute authority. The chief’s right to allocate water, assign fishing grounds, and levy communal labor (kōkua) could not be subject to debate. This is why the system required the potent, violent enforcement mechanism of the kapu. Managing scarce resources among a large population inevitably created conflict; the divine right of the ali‘i, backed by the ultimate sanction of human sacrifice to the god Kū, was the glue that held the productive system together. The god of war was the god of government because governing this delicate balance required an authority that was absolute and feared. This

authority was personal and unmediated. As Malo recorded, "Everything went according to the will or whim of the king... There was no judge, nor any court of justice... Retaliation with violence or murder was the rule in ancient times" (Malo, 1903, p. 58). The kapu system was the religious instrument of this power, and its enforcement was collective and terrifying. Kamakau relates that "Sometimes a whole ahupua‘a... got into trouble through violating some kapu... All who lived on that ahupua‘a... became subject to death" (Kamakau, 1992, p. 11). The severe and collective consequence of violating a kapu is starkly illustrated in a contemporary 1819 drawing by French artist Jacques Arago (see Figure 1).

Figure 1
Depiction of a kapu violation [Drawing by J. Arago]. Adapted from "Kapu: When breaking the law meant death," by Star-Bulletin Staff, 1999, Honolulu Star-Bulletin (https://archives.starbulletin.com/1999/05/05/millennium/storya4.html)

Religion and Mythologies

The Hawaiian religious system was a fluid body of myths, genealogies, and practices that served a core political and social function: legitimizing the authority of the ali‘i as resource managers. The mo‘okū‘auhau was the ultimate tool of this legitimization. By establishing a

lineage reaching back to the foundational gods, an aliʻi staked a cosmological claim to authority.

This divine validation created a system of pragmatic accountability. The gods were as fickle as the weather, and their favor was measured in practical outcomes such as abundant harvests and full fishponds. A famine or crop failure was a theological and political crisis. It was a sign that the aliʻi had failed in their duties, that the gods were displeased, and that the chief's mana had waned. As Kelly (1997) notes, if people "treated the land or the sea wrongly (hana hewa)... then the gods would instigate some kind of retribution, possibly a drought... that might result in a famine" (p. 2). Aliʻi were not above consequences. An immoral or incompetent chief who brought about calamity risked deposition or death. Their divine right was contingent upon their practical success.

Religion also provided the ideological framework for the entire subsistence economy. The concept that fresh water (wai) was the source of life and, by reduplication, wealth (waiwai), directly tied the most critical resource to the community's prosperity (Handy & Handy, 1972, p. 57). Rituals like the consecration of an ʻauwai or the Makahiki festival, which involved the symbolic collection of tribute for the god Lono and the real collection of taxes in the form of food and valuable articles, were co-equal and inseparable parts of the social contract that enabled the economy and heavens to function.

The Hawaiian worldview did not separate the utilitarian from the divine. As Handy and Pukui (1972) observed, 'One of the most notable things about the psychic... relationship... to external things is the fact that whatever is noted and distinguished as significant, psychically, has some real, specific and definite role in the business of living. It may be utilitarian, or aesthetic, or psychic' (p. 119). This insight explains why the pueo could be simultaneously a practical resource and a deity. This is perfectly illustrated in Malo's (1903) description of the pueo. He

notes it is a practical resource: it preys on mice and small fowl, and its feathers are "worked into kahilis of the choicest descriptions." In the same breath, he states, "The pueo is regarded as a deity and is worshipped by many." A single creature could simultaneously be a part of the ecosystem's pest control, a source of material for elite status, and a manifestation of an akua. This synthesis exemplifies how religion was woven into the fabric of daily survival and social hierarchy. The value of a bird was measured in a composite of its taste, its feathers, and its mana.

This principle of synthesizing mana with utilitarian and political function extended to the highest symbols of authority. Consider the lei niho palaoa, the hook-shaped pendant worn by high-ranking ali‘i. In this painting (below) the High Chief Boki and his wife Chieftess Liliha are wearing the lei niho palaoa. Its crafted from whale bone and bound with braids of human hair, and was the utmost statement of power. Its materials represented a culture that transformed its most potent and sacred resources, the formidable spirit of the ocean (the whale) and the genealogical essence of human lineage (the hair), into a wearable statement of political authority, like a physical counterpart to the chanted *mo‘okū‘auhau* that performed the same legitimizing function. Nothing of such profound significance was wasted; everything, even the most sacred, was integrated into the prosaic business of structuring and signifying power.

Figure 2

Lei niho palaoa (Chiefly Necklace) [Photograph]. Adapted from "Lei Niho Palaoa – Worn by Chiefess Liliha and High Chief Boki," by Tūwharetoa Bone Carving, n.d. (https://tuwharetoabone.com/blogs/news/lei-niho-palaoa)

Conclusion

The story of pre-contact Hawai‘i is not one of a people living in effortless utopian harmony. It is rather the story of kanaka who kalonized these islands and engineered a remarkable society through immense effort, innovation, and a social structure that was as demanding as it was effective and brutal as it was beautiful. A prosaic analysis reveals a society evolving in direct response to the fundamental equation of survival. Environment and population size dictate subsistence strategies, which in turn dictate social and political organization.

The journey began with navigators searching for resources. Their initial, extractive subsistence supported growth, which led to environmental pressure. The response was the brilliant, integrated, hard-won solution built over centuries of pragmatic adaptation—the

Ahupua‘a system. This system’s management required the rise of a chiefly class, whose authority was sanctified by a religion that framed them as divine trustees. The entire structure—akua, ali‘i, maka‘āinana, mea‘ai—was linked, fulfilling the metaphor from the outset of this paper, like a fishing net. Every strand, from the mountain spring to the chief's pendant, was interdependent in a continuous cycle of reciprocity and necessity, where a failure in the lo‘i kalo could topple the government of a god.

By understanding this past in all its complexity, the pragmatic engineering, the harsh enforcement, and the strategic ideology, we finally begin to see its true, interconnected brilliance. It was a society that, for a time, mastered the profound challenge of survival on isolated islands.

Bibliography

Handy, E. S. C., & Handy, E. G. (with Pukui, M. K.). (1972). *Native planters in old Hawaii: Their life, lore, and environment*. Bernice P. Bishop Museum Press.

Handy, E. S. C., & Pukui, M. K. (1972). *The Polynesian family system in Ka-ʻu, Hawaii*. The University Press of Hawaii.

Kamakau, S. M. (1992). *Ka poʻe kahiko: The people of old* (M. K. Pukui, Trans.; D. B. Barrère, Ed.). Bishop Museum Press.

Kelly, M. (1997, June 12-14). *Ahupuaʻa: A Kanaka Maoli system of natural resource enhancement, utilization and preservation* [Conference presentation]. Save Our Seas Conference, Princeville, Kauaʻi, HI, United States.

Kirch, P. V., & McCoy, M. D. (2007). Reconfiguring the Hawaiian cultural sequence: Results of re-dating the Halawa Dune site (MO-A1-3), Molokaʻi Island. *Journal of the Polynesian Society, 116*(4), 385–406.

Malo, D. (1951). *Hawaiian antiquities (Moolelo Hawaii)* (2nd ed., N. B. Emerson, Trans.). Bishop Museum Press.

Star-Bulletin Staff. (1999, May 5). *Kapu: When breaking the law meant death* [Drawing by J. Arago]. Honolulu Star-Bulletin. Retrieved [Your Access Date], from https://archives.starbulletin.com/1999/05/05/millennium/storya4.html

Tūwharetoa Bone Carving. (n.d.). *Lei niho palaoa – Worn by Chiefess Liliha and High Chief Boki* [Photograph]. Tūwharetoa Bone Carving. Retrieved [Your Access Date], from https://tuwharetoabone.com/blogs/news/lei-niho-palaoa